UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,800	08/04	/2003	Nasir Mahmood Mirza	2372	7250
28004 SPRINT	7590	11/06/2007		EXAMINER	
6391 SPRIN	ΓPARKWAY	<u>'</u>	ELALLAM, AHMED		
	KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0 , 21, 21 , 12		,020. 2100		2616	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				11/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/633,800	MIRZA, NASIR MAHMOOD					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		AHMED ELALLAM	2616					
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period for - Failure to repi Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY RIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIL time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, gived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Au</u>	<u>ıgust 0203</u> .						
2a)∏ This a	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims							
4)⊠ Claim	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐ Claim	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·								
8)☐ Claim	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Pa	pers							
9)∏ The sp	pecification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 0203</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applic	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) <u></u> The oa	ath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)∐ Ackno a)∐ All	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	<del></del>					
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ved in this National Stage					
* See the	application from the International Bureau attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,,	red					
Ose the	s attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	eu.					
Attacḥment(s)	•							
	ferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
3) M Information E	iftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date <u>8</u> /. +/ 2៚ З	Paper No(s)/Mail I  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/633,800

Art Unit: 2616

## DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 6-8, 10, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ennis, Jr. et al. Hereinafter referred to as Ennis.

Regarding claims 1 and 10, with reference to figure 1, Ennis discloses a method/ or communication control system for bandwidth selection in a communication network, the communication control system comprising:

a console 16, the console comprising a display user interface and input device, column 23, lines 30-33,(claimed a display device; an input device);

a communication interface for transferring command to the communication network, see column 23, line 52-55;

an operator entering information into console 16 describing the data transmission system configuration including the individual circuits to monitor by a probe. The probe determines the bandwidth capacities and committed information rates, the console displays information relating to bandwidth utilization for individual circuits, Column 9, lines 36-41.

Ennis further discloses window display 20 includes advisor button 52 for initiating analysis of the access channel and/or individual circuit utilization data to recommend a bandwidth adjustment. Column 15, line 17-20. (Claimed receive a user input from the input device in response to the graphical bandwidth selection indicium, with the user

Application/Control Number: 10/633,800

Art Unit: 2616

input selecting a particular bandwidth indicium of the two or more bandwidth indicia, translate the user input into the bandwidth selection command, and transfer the bandwidth selection command to the communication interface; wherein the user input generates the bandwidth selection command for a communication session in the communication network).

Regarding claims 6 and 15, Ennis discloses clicking a button 52 for bandwidths recommendation. See column 18, lines 38-34.

Regarding claims 7, 8, 16, 17 and 18, Ennis discloses displaying bar graph and pie charts for viewing bandwidth utilization; see column 17, lines 54-66.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-5, and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis in view of Lolayekar et al, US 2003/0079019. Hereinafter referred to as Lolayekar.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 11 and 12, as indicated above with reference to respective claims 1 and 10, Ennis discloses substantially all the limitation of parent claims. Further Ennis discloses a series of recommendation for bandwidth adjustments. Ennis doesn't specify transferring the bandwidth selection command to a first bandwidth

Art Unit: 2616

controller associated with a first switch of the communication network, with the first bandwidth controller controlling a communication session bandwidth, as in claim 2 and 11 and does not teach the bandwidth selection command is transferred to at least a first bandwidth controller associated with a first switch and a second bandwidth controller associated with a second switch of the communication network, with the first and second bandwidth controllers controlling a communication session bandwidth.

However, with reference to figures 2 and 6, Lolayekar in the same field of endeavor of bandwidth allocation in storage area network, discloses traffic managers (TMs) 608 in both ingress and egress linecards monitor (claimed bandwidth controller) the transfer bandwidth of different connections, the traffic manager associated with a switches 204, paragraph [0193]. Lolayekar further discloses an administrator entering the performance characteristics at a management station 210, wherein the characteristics can then be provided to the switches 204. Paragraph [0093].

It would have being obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to have the switching network of Ennis consist of the switching network of Lolayekar so that the method/system can be used in the network of Lolayekar. It is also advantageous to add another layer of service such as QoS to the system/method of Ennis.

Regarding claims 4, 5, 13 and 14, Ennis disclose bandwidth adjustment for individual circuits but does not specify the individual circuit (s) are mirroring session as in claims 4 and 13, or the mirroring session is to a Storage Area Network (SAN) as in claims 5 and 14.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,800

Art Unit: 2616

Lolayekar discloses path that are for mirroring to a SAN, see paragraph [0013]. It would have being obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to have the bandwidth adjustment of Ennis applied to path carrying mirroring data as taught by Lolayekar so that the bandwidth adjustment method/system of Ennis can be implemented in Storage Area Networks. (Lolayekar [0013]).

3. Claims 9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ennis in view of Fuller et al U.S 2003/0055972. Hereinafter referred to as Fuller.

Regarding claim 9 and 19, Ennis discloses that the console (claimed processing system) is arranged to display data in graphical form and the windows or screens may be arranged in any manner and contain any desired information and /or the bar graphs, pie charts and reports may contain any desired information extending for any desired period of time. See column 23, lines 30-50.

Ennis doesn't specify the displayed information is a service level agreement.

Fuller in the same field of endeavor of Storage Area Networks discloses displaying SLA data, see paragraph [0037]. It would have being obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made the make the displayed data of Ennis consists of SLA data as taught by Fuller. The advantage would be the ability to enhance the system of Ennis with enforcing the SLA in the process of bandwidth recommendation. It is also advantageous to prevent Users lacking the required SLA from congesting the network.

Art Unit: 2616

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See Form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AHMED ELALLAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3097. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi H. Pham can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AHMED ELALLAM Examiner Art Unit 2616 10/30/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER